Only Old Wine in New Bottles? Thermodynamics of Mixtures from a PDE Point of View

Peter Knabner, Matthias Herz (University Erlangen-Nürnberg)

Inria Paris, December 8-9, 2014 Conference MSIPM in Honor of Jean Roberts and Jerome Jaffré



NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics

Step 1 – The conservation PDEs for mass, charge, and momentum

Step 2 – The energy conservation PDE

Step 3 – The entropy evolution PDE

Step 4 – The constitutive laws

Conclusion



Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics



NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT

What is Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics

Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics is a macroscopic continuum theory that provides a general framework for the description of the dynamics in multicomponent systems (mixtures).

Characterizations from the literature

Nonequilibrium thermodynamics provides us with a general framework for the macroscopic description of irreversible processes.

(S. De Groot, P. Mazur)

Nonequilibrium thermodynamics is founded on the explicit expression for the entropy production rate in terms of irreversible processes that we can identify and study experimentally.

(I. Prigogine)

Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics - Introduction

Characteristic features of Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics

- allows to derive the governing equations for mixtures
- describes the dynamics in mixtures with densities and PDEs
- provides a formula for the entropy production rate
- allows to validate the thermodynamic consistency of constitutive laws

Conclusion

- Nonequilibrium thermodynamics is a useful framework for the mathematical modeling of mixtures.
- Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics allows to check the thermodynamic consistency of mathematical models of mixtures

Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics - The Building Blocks

Step 1: The conservation PDEs for mass, charge, and momentum

- set up the conservation PDEs for each constituent
- derive the conservation PDEs for the mixture from its constituents

Step 2: The energy conservation PDE

- choose an ansatz for the energy densities for the constituents
- set up the energy conservation PDEs for the constituents
- derive the energy conservation PDE for the mixture
- show the 1st law of thermodynamics

Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics - The Building Blocks

Step 3: The entropy evolution PDE

- use a nonequilibrium Gibbs relation
- derive the entropy evolution PDE
- obtain a formula for the entropy production rate
- show the 2nd law of thermodynamics

Step 4: The constitutive laws

- choose the constitutive laws
- validate the thermodynamic consistency of the constitutive laws

Result: Obtain a thermodynamically consistent PDE model.



Step 1 – The conservation PDEs for mass, charge, and momentum



NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT Mixtures are multicomponent systems of *L* constituents.

- solutes: *I* = 1, ..., *L* 1
- solvent: I = L

Constituent variable	corresponding Mixture variable	Units
ρ_I mass density	$ ho = \sum_{I} ho_{I}$ total mass density	$[kg/m^3]$
$y_I = \rho_I / \rho$ mass fraction	$1 = \sum_{l} y_{l}$	[/]
u ₁ velocity field	$oldsymbol{u} = \sum_{l} y_{l} oldsymbol{u}_{l}$ barycentric velocity field	[<i>m</i> / <i>s</i>]
r_l mass production rate	$0 = \sum_{l} r_{l}$ mass continuity	$[kg/(m^3s)]$
$oldsymbol{j}_l = ho_l(oldsymbol{u} - oldsymbol{u}_l)$ drift mass flux	$0 = \sum_{l} \mathbf{j}_{l} \implies \mathbf{j}_{L} = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \mathbf{j}_{l}$	$[kg/(m^2s)]$
$e_0 z_l m_l^{-1} \rho_l$ charge	$\rho_f = \sum_l e_0 z_l m_l^{-1} \rho_l$ free charge density	$[C/m^3]$

Step 1: The mass balance equations

1. Mass continuity for the /th constituent :

 $\partial_t \rho_l + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho_l \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{j}_l) = r_l$

- 2. Sum over *I* and involve $\sum_{l} r_{l} = 0$ (mass continuity w.r.t. to reactions)
- 3. Mass continuity for the mixture:

 $\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{0}$

Crucial observation

f scalar function, \boldsymbol{v} vector field, $\frac{D}{Dt}$ material derivative w.r.t. to \boldsymbol{u} $\partial_t(\rho f) + \nabla \cdot (\rho f \boldsymbol{u}) = \rho \left[\partial_t f + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla f\right] + f \left[\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u})\right] = \rho \frac{D}{Dt} f$, $\partial_t(\rho \boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}) = \rho \left[\partial_t \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \, \boldsymbol{u}\right] + \boldsymbol{v} \left[\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u})\right] = \rho \frac{D}{Dt} \boldsymbol{v}$ Conservative formulation \iff Non-conservative formulation

Step 1: The mass balance equations

- 1. Mass continuity for the /th constituent ($\rho_l = \rho y_l$):
 - $\partial_t \rho_l + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho_l \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{j}_l) = \boldsymbol{r}_l \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \rho \frac{D}{Dt} \boldsymbol{y}_l + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l = \boldsymbol{r}_l$
- 2. Sum over *I* and involve $\sum_{l} r_{l} = 0$ (mass continuity w.r.t. to reactions)
- 3. Mass continuity for the mixture:

$$\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

Crucial observation

f scalar function, **v** vector field, $\frac{D}{Dt}$ material derivative w.r.t. to **u**

$$\partial_t(\rho f) + \nabla \cdot (\rho f \boldsymbol{u}) = \rho \left[\partial_t f + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla f\right] + f \left[\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u})\right] = \rho \frac{D}{Dt} f,$$

$$\partial_t(\rho \boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}) = \rho \left[\partial_t \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \, \boldsymbol{u}\right] + \boldsymbol{v} \left[\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u})\right] = \rho \frac{D}{Dt} \boldsymbol{v}$$

Conservative formulation \iff **Non-conservative formulation**

Step 1: The momentum balance equations

1. Momentum continuity for the /th constituent:

 $\partial_t(\rho \boldsymbol{u}_l) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho_l \boldsymbol{u}_l \otimes \boldsymbol{u}_l) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_l + \boldsymbol{e}_0 \boldsymbol{z}_l \boldsymbol{m}_l^{-1} \rho_l \boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{F}_l^{int} + \boldsymbol{r}_l \boldsymbol{u}_l$

- 2. Sum over *I* and involve $\sum_{l} F_{l}^{int} + r_{l} u_{l} = 0$ (no internal momentum production)
- 3. Momentum continuity for the mixture:

 $\partial_t(
ho oldsymbol{u}) + oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot (
ho oldsymbol{u} \otimes oldsymbol{u}) = oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{T} +
ho_f oldsymbol{E}$

The stress tensors

• The mixture stress tensor **T** is given by

$$\boldsymbol{T} := \sum_{l} \boldsymbol{T}_{l} - \rho_{l}(\boldsymbol{u}_{l} - \boldsymbol{u}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{u}_{l} - \boldsymbol{u}) = \sum_{l} \boldsymbol{T}_{l} - \boldsymbol{j}_{l} \otimes (\boldsymbol{u}_{l} - \boldsymbol{u})$$

• For the conservation of the angular momentum, we have

 $\boldsymbol{T} = \boldsymbol{T}^{\top}$ (Cauchy's 2nd law of motion)

• The T_1 can be non-symmetric as long as T remains symmetric



Step 2 – The energy conservation PDE



NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT Ansatz for the evolution PDE for the total energy density ρe^{tot} :

 $\partial_t \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{j}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \tag{1}$

The first law of thermodynamics

 $r_e = 0$

Ansatz for the evolution PDE for the total energy density ρe^{tot} :

$$\partial_t \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{j}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \tag{1}$$

The first law of thermodynamics

$$r_e = 0 \implies \partial_t \left(\rho e^{tot} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho e^{tot} u + j_e \right) = 0$$

Ansatz for the evolution PDE for the total energy density ρe^{tot} :

$$\partial_t \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{j}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \right) = \boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{e}} \tag{1}$$

The first law of thermodynamics

$$r_e = 0 \implies \partial_t \left(\rho e^{tot} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho e^{tot} u + j_e \right) = 0$$

Fundamental ansatz for the energy densities

$$\rho \boldsymbol{e}_{I}^{tot} := \frac{1}{2} \rho_{I} |\boldsymbol{u}_{I}|^{2} + \boldsymbol{e}_{0} \boldsymbol{z}_{I} \boldsymbol{m}_{I}^{-1} \rho_{I} \Phi + \rho_{I} \boldsymbol{e}_{I}^{int}$$

$$\implies \rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} := \rho \sum_{I} \boldsymbol{y}_{I} \boldsymbol{e}_{I}^{tot} = \frac{1}{2} \rho |\boldsymbol{u}|^{2} + \rho_{f} \Phi + \rho \boldsymbol{e}^{int}$$
(2)

Here, the internal energy density ρe^{int} of the mixture given by

$$\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{int} := \rho \sum_{l} y_{l} \boldsymbol{e}_{l}^{int} + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{l} \sum_{l} |\boldsymbol{u}_{l} - \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}$$

STEP 2: The energy evolution equations

The procedure:

- 1. Derive a PDE for the kinetic energy density $\frac{1}{2}\rho |\boldsymbol{u}|^2$
- 2. Derive a PDE for the electric potential energy density $\rho_f \Phi$
- 3. Ansatz for the internal energy density: $\partial_t (\rho e^{int}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho e^{int} u + q) = h$ (*q* heat flux, *h* internal energy production rate)
- 4. Insert 1. 3. and (2) into (1)

The energy evolution PDEs

• Obtain a formula for \mathbf{j}_e . This yields $(\mathbf{i}_f := \sum_l e_0 z_l m_l^{-1} \mathbf{j}_l$ free current flux)

$$\partial_t \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{tot} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{q} + \Phi \boldsymbol{i}_f - \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{u} \right) = \mathbf{0}$$
 [energy conservation]

• Obtain a formula for *h*. This yields

 $\partial_t \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{int} \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\rho \boldsymbol{e}^{int} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{q} \right) = \boldsymbol{i}_f \cdot \boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{T} : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}$ ["heat equation"]



Step 3 – The entropy evolution PDE



NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT

STEP 3: The second law of thermodynamics

Ansatz for the evolution PDE for the entropy density ρs :

$$\partial_t (\rho s) + \nabla \cdot (\rho s u + j_s) = \sigma \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \rho \frac{D}{Dt} s = -\nabla \cdot j_s + \sigma$$
 (3)

The second law of thermodynamics

 $\sigma \geq \mathbf{0}$

STEP 3: The second law of thermodynamics

Ansatz for the evolution PDE for the entropy density ρs :

$$\partial_t (\rho s) + \nabla \cdot (\rho s u + j_s) = \sigma \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \rho \frac{D}{Dt} s = -\nabla \cdot j_s + \sigma$$
 (3)

The second law of thermodynamics

 $\sigma \geq 0 \implies \partial_t (\rho s) + \nabla \cdot (\rho s u + j_s) \geq 0$

STEP 3: The second law of thermodynamics

Ansatz for the evolution PDE for the entropy density ρs :

$$\partial_t (\rho \boldsymbol{s}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{j}_s) = \sigma \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \rho \frac{D}{Dt} \boldsymbol{s} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_s + \sigma \tag{3}$$

The second law of thermodynamics

 $\sigma \geq 0 \implies \partial_t (\rho s) + \nabla \cdot (\rho s u + j_s) \geq 0$

Equilibrium Gibbs relation

In equilibrium:
$$e^{int} = e^{int}(s, v, y_1, \dots, y_L)$$

This yields the equilibrium Gibbs relation

$$de^{int} = \underbrace{\partial_s e^{int}}_{=:T} ds + \underbrace{\partial_v e^{int}}_{=:-p} dv + \sum_l \underbrace{\partial_{y_l} e^{int}}_{=:\mu_l} dy_l = T ds - p dv + \sum_l \mu_l dy_l$$

Nonequilibrium Gibbs relation

In nonequilibrium:
$$e^{int}(t,x) = e^{int}(s(t,x), v(t,x), y_1(t,x), \dots, y_L(t,x))$$

This yields the nonequilibrium Gibbs relation

$$\frac{D}{Dt}e^{int} = \underbrace{\partial_{s}e^{int}}_{=:T} \frac{D}{Dt}s + \underbrace{\partial_{v}e^{int}}_{=:-p} \frac{D}{Dt}v + \sum_{l} \underbrace{\partial_{y_{l}}e^{int}}_{=:\mu_{l}} \frac{D}{Dt}y_{l}$$
$$= T \frac{D}{Dt}s - p \frac{D}{Dt}v + \sum_{l} \mu_{l} \frac{D}{Dt}y_{l}$$

Combine the nonequilibrium Gibbs relation with equation (3). This yields

$$-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}_{s}+\sigma=\frac{1}{T}\frac{D}{Dt}\boldsymbol{e}^{int}+\frac{p}{T}\frac{D}{Dt}\boldsymbol{v}-\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l}\mu_{l}\frac{D}{Dt}\boldsymbol{y}_{l}$$

Insert the PDEs for e^{int} , v, and $y_l \implies$ Obtain formulas for j_s and σ



• contributions to σ are revealed

Criterion for thermodynamic consistency

Constitutive laws for τ , j_l , q, r_l , μ_l^{el} must respect $\sigma > 0$



Step 4 – The constitutive laws



NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT

STEP 4: The governing equations

Poisson's equation:

 $-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\left(\epsilon_{0}\epsilon_{r}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Phi\right)=\rho_{f}$

Mass conservation: $I \in \{1, \dots, L-1\}$

 $\partial_t \rho_l + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho_l \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{j}_l) = \boldsymbol{r}_l$ $\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{0}$

Momentum conservation:

 $\partial_t(\rho \boldsymbol{u}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) \\ = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \rho_f \boldsymbol{E}$

Internal energy evolution:

 $\partial_t(\rho e^{int}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho e^{int} u + q)$ = $-\rho \nabla \cdot u + \tau : \nabla u + E \cdot i_f$ **Dependent variables:**

$$\rho_L = \rho - \sum_{l}^{L-1} \rho_l$$
$$\boldsymbol{j}_L = -\sum_{l}^{L-1} \boldsymbol{j}_l$$

Involved Ansatzes: $T = -\rho \mathbb{1} + \tau$ $\rho e^{tot} = \rho e^{int} + \rho_f \Phi + \frac{1}{2}\rho |\boldsymbol{u}|^2$

Dependent equations: $\partial_t (\rho e^{tot}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho e^{tot} u + q + \Phi i_f - T u) = 0$ $\rho \frac{D}{Dt} s = \frac{\rho}{T} \frac{D}{Dt} e^{int} + \frac{\rho \rho}{T} \frac{D}{Dt} v - \frac{\rho}{T} \sum_l \mu_l \frac{D}{Dt} y_l$ Here, we can inserted $\rho \frac{D}{Dt} v = \nabla \cdot u$

one species (L = 1), electrically neutral $(z_l = 0)$

Governing equations

Mass conservation:

 $\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{0}$

Momentum conservation:

$$\partial_t(\rho \boldsymbol{u}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}$$

Internal energy evolution:

 $\partial_t(\rho e^{int}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho e^{int} u + q) = -\rho \nabla \cdot u + \tau : \nabla u$

one species (L = 1), electrically neutral $(z_l = 0)$

Governing equations

Mass conservation:

 $\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{0}$

Momentum conservation:

$$\partial_t(\rho \boldsymbol{u}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{u} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}$$

Internal energy evolution:

$$\partial_t(\rho e^{int}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho e^{int} u + q) = -\rho \nabla \cdot u + \tau : \nabla u$$

Constitutive ansatzes (η shear viscosity,	$\eta_{ m v}$ bulk viscosity, κ heat capacity)
$oldsymbol{ au} := \eta \left[oldsymbol{ abla} oldsymbol{u} + oldsymbol{ abla} oldsymbol{u}^ op ight] + \eta_{oldsymbol{ u}} (oldsymbol{ abla} \cdot oldsymbol{u}) \mathbb{1}$	[Newtonian fluid]
$oldsymbol{q} := -\kappa oldsymbol{ abla} T$	[Fourier's law]

one species (L = 1), electrically neutral $(z_l = 0)$

Entropy production rate (Symmetric gradient: $\nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} := \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{\top})$) $T\sigma = -T^{-1}\nabla T \cdot \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u} : \boldsymbol{\tau}$ $= \kappa T^{-1} |\nabla T|^{2} + 2\eta \nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} : \nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} + \eta_{v} |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}$ $\geq (2\eta n^{-1} + \eta_{v}) |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}$ $\geq 0, \quad \text{if} \quad 2\eta \geq n \eta_{v}$

one species (L = 1), electrically neutral $(z_l = 0)$

Entropy production rate (Symmetric gradient: $\nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} := \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{\top})$) $T\sigma = -T^{-1}\nabla T \cdot \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u} : \boldsymbol{\tau}$ $= \kappa T^{-1} |\nabla T|^{2} + 2\eta \nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} : \nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} + \eta_{v} |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}$ $\geq (2\eta n^{-1} + \eta_{v}) |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}$ $\geq 0, \quad \text{if } 2\eta \geq n \eta_{v}$

Thermodynamically consistent ansatzes for τ and q

one species (L = 1), electrically neutral $(z_l = 0)$

Entropy production rate (Symmetric gradient: $\nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} := \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{T})$) $T\sigma = -T^{-1}\nabla T \cdot \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \boldsymbol{u} : \tau$ $= \kappa T^{-1} |\nabla T|^{2} + 2\eta \nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} : \nabla^{s} \boldsymbol{u} + \eta_{v} |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}$ $\geq (2\eta n^{-1} + \eta_{v}) |\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}$ $\geq 0, \quad \text{if } 2\eta \geq n \eta_{v}$

Thermodynamically consistent ansatzes for τ and q

Solution vector: (ρ, T, u) Dependent variables: $e^{int} = e^{int}(p, T), \ p = p(\rho, T), \ \eta = \eta(\rho, T).$

The ansatzes for these dependencies can not be verified by $\sigma \geq 0$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Governing equationsMass conservation: $l \in \{1, ..., L-1\}$ Dependent variables: $\partial_t \rho_l + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l = r_l$ $\rho_L = \rho - \sum_l^{L-1} \rho_l$ Momentum conservation: $\boldsymbol{j}_L = -\sum_l^{L-1} \boldsymbol{j}_l$ $- \nabla p = 0$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Governing equationsMass conservation: $l \in \{1, ..., L-1\}$ Dependent variables: $\partial_t \rho_l + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l = r_l$ $\rho_L = \rho - \sum_l^{L-1} \rho_l$ Momentum conservation: $\boldsymbol{j}_L = -\sum_l^{L-1} \boldsymbol{j}_l$ $-\Delta p = 0$ $\boldsymbol{j}_L = 0$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Governing equations

Mass conservation: $I \in \{1, \ldots, L-1\}$

$$\partial_t \rho_l + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l = \boldsymbol{r}_l$$

Momentum conservation:

 $-\Delta p = 0$

Dependent variables:

$$\rho_L = \rho - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \rho_l$$
$$\boldsymbol{j}_L = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \boldsymbol{j}_l$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Constitutive ansatzes (mobility } \omega_l, \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{stoich. coeff. } s_{ij}, \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{constants } \beta_l, k_j^f, k_j^b) \\ \mu_l := \mu^0(p, T) + \frac{k_b T}{m_l} \left(\beta_l + \ln y_l\right) \hspace{0.5cm} [\textbf{chemical potential}] \\ \textbf{j}_l := -m_l \omega_l \rho_l \nabla(\mu_l - \mu_L) \hspace{0.5cm} [\textbf{diffusion flux}] \\ r_l := m_l \sum_j s_{lj} R_j \hspace{0.5cm} [\textbf{mass production rate}] \\ R_j := k_j^f \prod_{s_{ij} < 0} y_i^{-s_{ij}} - k_j^b \prod_{s_{ij} > 0} y_i^{s_{ij}} \hspace{0.5cm} [\textbf{reaction rate}] \end{array}$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Entropy production rate

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_l \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\mu_l}{T} r_l \geq 0$$

Diffusive entropy production

$$-\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{l} = -\frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \nabla \mu_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{l} + \nabla \mu_{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{L} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \nabla \mu_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{l} - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \nabla \mu_{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{l} \right)$$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Entropy production rate

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_l \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\mu_l}{T} r_l \geq 0$$

Diffusive entropy production

$$-\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l=1}^{L}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\mu_{l}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}_{l} = -\frac{1}{T}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L-1}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\mu_{l}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}_{l} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\mu_{L}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}_{L}\right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l=1}^{L-1}\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\mu_{l}-\mu_{L})\cdot\boldsymbol{j}_{l}$$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Entropy production rate

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_l \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\mu_l}{T} \boldsymbol{r}_l \geq 0$$

Diffusive entropy production

$$-\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{l} = -\frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \nabla \mu_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{l} + \nabla \mu_{L} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{L} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{T}\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \nabla (\mu_{l} - \mu_{L}) \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_{l}$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \frac{m_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}}{T} |\nabla (\mu_{l} - \mu_{L})|^{2} \geq 0$$

Thermodynamically consistent ansatzes for j_{i}

P. Knabner, M. Herz Thermodynamics of Mixtures from a PDE Point of View

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

- reaction rate vector $m{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_J)^{ op} \in \mathbb{R}^J$
- equilibrium constant vector $\boldsymbol{K} := (K^1, \dots, K^J)^\top := (k_1^f / k_1^b, \dots, k_J^f / k_J^b)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^J$
- mass fraction vector $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_L)^{ op} \in \mathbb{R}^L$
- stoichiometric matrix $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{L imes J}$

• Equilibrium for the *j*th reaction rate
$$R_j$$

 $R_j(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \iff K^j = \prod_{s_{ij} \neq 0} (y_i)^{s_{ij}} \iff \ln(K^j) = \sum_i s_{ij} \ln(y_i)$

• Simultaneous equilibrium for all reaction rates R_j

$$\boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \iff \quad \ln(\boldsymbol{K}) = \boldsymbol{S}^{\top} \ln(\boldsymbol{y})$$

Wegscheider condition / principle of detailed balance

J < L: an equilibrium mass fraction vector y^* with $R(y^*) = 0$ exists

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

- Definition: Set $\beta_l = \ln(y_l^*)$
- Equivalence: $R_j \stackrel{\geq}{\equiv} 0 \iff \ldots \iff 0 \stackrel{\geq}{\equiv} \sum_l s_{lj} (\beta_l + \ln y_l)$
- Estimate: $R_j \sum_l s_{lj} (\beta_l + \ln y_l) \leq 0$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, J\}$



Thermodynamically consistent ansatzes for μ_l and r_l

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

The chemical potentials

$$\mu_{I}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{T}, \boldsymbol{y}_{I}) = \mu^{0}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{T}) + \underbrace{\frac{k_{b}T}{m_{l}}(\beta_{I} + \ln \boldsymbol{y}_{I})}_{=:\mu_{I}^{mix}(\boldsymbol{T}, \boldsymbol{y}_{I})} = \mu^{0}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{T}) + \mu_{I}^{mix}(\boldsymbol{T}, \boldsymbol{y}_{I})$$

$$\mathbf{j}_{l}(t, \mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{m}_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}\nabla(\mu_{l} - \mu_{L}) = -\mathbf{m}_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}\nabla(\mu_{l}^{mix} - \mu_{L}^{mix})$$

WARNING: The pressure equation decouples due to $\mu_l^0 := \mu^0$

Remaining governing equations (Reaction-Diffusion system)

$$\partial_t \rho_l + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l = \boldsymbol{r}_l$$
, for $l \in \{1, \dots, L-1\}$

From these equations compute: $\rho_L = \rho - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \rho_l$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

- Einstein-Smoluchowski relation: $\omega_I = d_I (k_b T)^{-1}$
- Gradient of the chemical potentials: $\nabla \mu_I = k_b T m_I^{-1} y_I^{-1} \nabla y_I = k_b T m_I^{-1} \rho_I^{-1} \nabla \rho_I$

Diffusion fluxes

• Thermodynamically consistent ansatz:

$$\mathbf{j}_{l} = -\mathbf{m}_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}\nabla(\mu_{l} - \mu_{L}) = -\underbrace{\mathbf{d}_{l}\nabla\rho_{l}}_{\text{fickian}} + \underbrace{\underbrace{\mathbf{m}_{l}\rho_{l}\mathbf{d}_{l}}_{\text{m}_{L}\rho_{L}}\nabla\rho_{L}}_{\text{solvent}}$$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

- Einstein-Smoluchowski relation: $\omega_I = d_I (k_b T)^{-1}$
- Gradient of the chemical potentials: $\nabla \mu_I = k_b T m_I^{-1} y_I^{-1} \nabla y_I = k_b T m_I^{-1} \rho_I^{-1} \nabla \rho_I$



Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

- Einstein-Smoluchowski relation: $\omega_I = d_I (k_b T)^{-1}$
- Gradient of the chemical potentials: $\nabla \mu_l = k_b T m_l^{-1} y_l^{-1} \nabla y_l = k_b T m_l^{-1} \rho_l^{-1} \nabla \rho_l$



Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), electrically neutral ($z_l = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

- Einstein-Smoluchowski relation: $\omega_I = d_I (k_b T)^{-1}$
- Gradient of the chemical potentials: $\nabla \mu_l = k_b T m_l^{-1} y_l^{-1} \nabla y_l = k_b T m_l^{-1} \rho_l^{-1} \nabla \rho_l$



WARNING: The Fickian diffusion ansatz is only an approximation of the thermodynamically consistent ansatz

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)



Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)



Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Governing equations

Poisson's equation:

$$-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\left(\epsilon_{0}\epsilon_{r}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Phi\right)=\rho_{f}$$

Mass conservation: $l \in \{1, ..., L-1\}$ $\partial_t \rho_l + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l = \boldsymbol{r}_l$

Momentum conservation:

$$- oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot (d oldsymbol{
abla}
ho) = - oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot (d
ho_f oldsymbol{E})$$

Dependent variables:

$$\rho_L = \rho - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \rho_l$$
$$\boldsymbol{j}_L = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \boldsymbol{j}_l$$

Constitutive ansatzes

$$\mu_{l}^{el} := \frac{e_{0}z_{l}}{m_{l}} \Phi + \mu^{0}(p, T) + \frac{k_{b}T}{m_{l}} (\beta_{l} + \ln y_{l}) \quad \text{[electrochemical potential]}$$
$$\boldsymbol{j}_{l} := -m_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l} \nabla \left(\mu_{l}^{el} - \mu_{L}^{el}\right) \qquad \text{[diffusion flux]}$$
$$r_{l} := m_{l} \sum_{j} s_{lj} \left[k_{j}^{f} \prod_{s_{ij} < 0} y_{i}^{-s_{ij}} - k_{j}^{b} \prod_{s_{ij} > 0} y_{i}^{s_{ij}}\right] \quad \text{[mass production rate]}$$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Entropy production rate $\sigma = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_l^{el} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\mu_l^{el}}{T} r_l \ge 0$ From Example 2: Thermodynamically consistent ansatzes for $\mu_l^{el}, \boldsymbol{j}_l, r_l$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Entropy production rate

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_l^{el} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\mu_l^{el}}{T} r_l \geq 0$$

From Example 2: Thermodynamically consistent ansatzes for μ_l^{el} , j_l , r_l

Diffusion fluxes

• Thermodynamically consistent ansatz:

$$\mathbf{j}_{l} = -\mathbf{m}_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}\nabla(\mu_{l} - \mu_{L}) = -\underbrace{\mathbf{d}_{l}\nabla\rho_{l}}_{\text{fickian}} + \underbrace{\underbrace{\frac{m_{l}\rho_{l}d_{l}}{m_{L}\rho_{L}}}_{\text{solvent}} + \underbrace{\frac{e_{0}z_{l}d_{l}}{k_{b}T}\rho_{l}\mathbf{E}}_{\text{electric}}$$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Entropy production rate

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_l^{el} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\mu_l^{el}}{T} r_l \geq 0$$

From Example 2: Thermodynamically consistent ansatzes for μ_l^{el} , j_l , r_l

Diffusion fluxes

• Thermodynamically consistent ansatz: $j_{l} = -m_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}\nabla(\mu_{l} - \mu_{L}) = -\underbrace{d_{l}\nabla\rho_{l}}_{\text{fickian}} + \underbrace{\frac{m_{l}\rho_{l}d_{l}}{m_{L}\rho_{L}}\nabla\rho_{L}}_{\text{solvent}} + \underbrace{\frac{e_{0}z_{l}d_{l}}{k_{b}T}\rho_{l}E}_{\text{electric}}$ • Approximation in dilute mixtures: $(y_{L} \approx const \implies \nabla\mu_{L} \approx 0)$ $j_{l} \approx -m_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}\nabla\mu_{l} = -\underbrace{d_{l}\nabla\rho_{l}}_{\text{fickian}} + \underbrace{\frac{e_{0}z_{l}d_{l}}{k_{b}T}\rho_{l}E}_{\text{electric}}$ [Nernst-Planck flux]

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Entropy production rate

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{T} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \nabla \mu_l^{el} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_l - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \frac{\mu_l^{el}}{T} \boldsymbol{r}_l \geq 0$$

From Example 2: Thermodynamically consistent ansatzes for μ_l^{el} , j_l , r_l

Diffusion fluxes

Thermodynamically consistent ansatz:

$$\mathbf{j}_{l} = -\mathbf{m}_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}\nabla(\mu_{l} - \mu_{L}) = -\underbrace{\mathbf{d}_{l}\nabla\rho_{l}}_{\text{fickian}} + \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{m}_{l}\rho_{l}\mathbf{d}_{l}}{\mathbf{m}_{L}\rho_{L}}\nabla\rho_{L}}_{\text{solvent}} + \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{e}_{0}z_{l}d_{l}}{k_{b}T}\rho_{l}\mathbf{E}}_{\text{electric}}$$

• Approximation in dilute mixtures: $(y_L \approx const \implies \nabla \mu_L \approx 0)$

$$\boldsymbol{j}_{l} \approx -\boldsymbol{m}_{l}\omega_{l}\rho_{l}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\mu_{l} = -\underbrace{\boldsymbol{d}_{l}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\rho_{l}}_{\text{fickian}} + \underbrace{\underbrace{\boldsymbol{e}_{0}\boldsymbol{z}_{l}\boldsymbol{d}_{l}}_{\boldsymbol{k}_{b}T}\rho_{l}\boldsymbol{E}}_{\text{electric}} \qquad \text{[Nernst-Planck flux]}$$

The Nernst-Planck flux is only an approximation for dilute solutions

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Equation for the solvent (sum over the PDEs for $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{L-1}$) Use $\rho_L = \rho - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \rho_l$ $-\partial_t \rho_L + \nabla \cdot \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \left(-d_l \nabla \rho_l + \frac{e_0 z_l d_l}{k_b T} \rho_l E \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} r_l$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Equation for the solvent (sum over the PDEs for
$$\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{L-1}$$
)
Use $\rho_L = \rho - \sum_l^{L-1} \rho_l$, split $d_l = (d_l - d) + d$
 $-\partial_t \rho_L + \nabla \cdot (d\nabla \rho_L) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{d}{k_b T} \rho_f E\right) + \ldots = \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} r_l$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Equation for the solvent (sum over the PDEs for
$$\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{L-1}$$
)
Use $\rho_L = \rho - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \rho_l$, split $d_l = (d_l - d) + d$, use $-\nabla \cdot (d\nabla p) = -\nabla \cdot (d\rho_f E)$
 $\partial_t \rho_L - \nabla \cdot (d\nabla \rho_L) - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{d}{k_b T} \nabla p\right) + \ldots = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} r_l$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

Equation for the solvent (sum over the PDEs for $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{L-1}$)

Use
$$\rho_L = \rho - \sum_{l=1}^{L-1} \rho_l$$
, split $d_l = (d_l - d) + d$, use $-\nabla \cdot (d\nabla p) = -\nabla \cdot (d\rho_f E)$
 $\partial_t \rho_L - \nabla \cdot (d\nabla \rho_L) - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{d}{k_b T} \nabla p\right) + \ldots = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} r_l$

The resulting system

The solution vector $(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{L-1}, \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{p})$ consists of

- the electroosmotic pressure *p*,
- the electric field *E*,
- and the concentrations ρ_I

Here, p, ρ_I , \boldsymbol{E} are fully coupled through:

 $\boldsymbol{\rho} \longrightarrow \rho_L \longrightarrow \rho_I \iff \boldsymbol{E} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\rho}$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)



Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

/ 1

Dilute Approximation (Classical Poisson-Nernst-Planck system)

• Solvent PDE remains pressure dependent:

$$\partial_t \rho_L - \nabla \cdot (d\nabla \rho_L) - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{d}{k_b T} \nabla \rho\right) + \ldots = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} r_l$$

• WARNING: Now, the solute PDEs are solvent independent $\partial_t \rho_l + \nabla \cdot \left(-d_l \nabla \rho_l + \frac{m_{t} \rho_l d_l}{m_L \rho_L} \nabla \rho_L + \frac{e_0 z_l d_l}{k_b T} \rho_l E \right) = r_l$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

/ 1

Dilute Approximation (Classical Poisson-Nernst-Planck system)

• Solvent PDE remains pressure dependent:

$$\partial_t \rho_L - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho_L) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{d}}{k_b T} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{p} \right) + \ldots = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} r_l$$

• WARNING: Now, the solute PDEs are solvent independent $\partial_t \rho_l + \nabla \cdot \left(-d_l \nabla \rho_l + \frac{e_0 z_l d_l}{k_b T} \rho_l E \right) = r_l$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

1 4

Dilute Approximation (Classical Poisson-Nernst-Planck system)

• Solvent PDE remains pressure dependent:

$$\partial_t \rho_L - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho_L) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{d}}{k_b T} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{p} \right) + \ldots = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} r_l$$

• WARNING: Now, the solute PDEs are solvent independent $\partial_t \rho_l + \nabla \cdot \left(-d_l \nabla \rho_l + \frac{e_0 z_l d_l}{k_b T} \rho_l E \right) = r_l$

The resulting system

The solution vector $(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{L-1}, \boldsymbol{E})$ consists of

- the electric field *E*,
- and the concentrations ρ_I

No coupling from *p* to ρ_l :

 $\boldsymbol{\rho} \longrightarrow \rho_L
ightarrow \boldsymbol{\rho}_I \iff \boldsymbol{E} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\rho}$

Isothermal ($T \equiv const$), neutral solvent ($z_L = 0$), incompressible ($\rho \equiv const$), no flow ($u \equiv 0$)

1 4

Dilute Approximation (Classical Poisson-Nernst-Planck system)

• Solvent PDE remains pressure dependent:

$$\partial_t \rho_L - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \rho_L) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{d}}{k_b T} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{p} \right) + \ldots = -\sum_{l=1}^{L-1} r_l$$

• WARNING: Now, the solute PDEs are solvent independent $\partial_t \rho_l + \nabla \cdot \left(-d_l \nabla \rho_l + \frac{e_0 z_l d_l}{k_b T} \rho_l E \right) = r_l$

The resulting system

The solution vector $(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{L-1}, \boldsymbol{E})$ consists of

- the electric field *E*,
- and the concentrations ρ_I

No coupling from p to ρ_l :

 $\boldsymbol{\rho} \longrightarrow \rho_L \qquad \rho_I \iff \boldsymbol{E} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\rho}$



Conclusion



NATURWISSENSCHAFTLICHE FAKULTÄT

Conclusion

Nonequilibrium thermodynamics

- allows to derive the governing equations for mixtures
- derives a formula for the entropy production rate σ based on Gibbs relation
- allows to validate the constitutive laws

Conclusion:

Nonequilibrium thermodynamics is a framework for the derivation of thermodynamically consistent mathematical models

Conclusion

Presented examples:

- Example 1: The fluid mechanical equations
- Example 2: Reaction-diffusion systems
- Example 3: Poisson-Nernst-Planck systems

Conclusion:

- Be careful with the pressure ansatz in fluid mechanical systems \longrightarrow Not verifiable through the formula for σ
- Be careful with the dilute approximation for charged mixtures
 - \longrightarrow The electroosmotic pressure equation decouples

Thank you for your attention